

BIFURCATED ZONES OF COLLAPSE KNOWLEDGE

A very small group of independent researchers working for free and posting under user-names collectively mapped the Twin Towers collapse progression modes (and initiation modes) far better than any U.S. Government or professional institution. The collective mappings are [linked here](#).

EVIDENCE OF UNIQUENESS, DISTINCTIVENESS OF TWIN TOWER COLLAPSE PROGRESSION MODES (DIRECTLY RELATED TO UNIQUE STRUCTURAL DESIGNS)

It is very important to understand these were not 'generic' buildings. They were of a highly unique architecture and as a result they underwent highly specific modes of collapse progression which are unique to these structures. The collapses were incredibly unique events with unique, distinct, recognizable features that would not have been observed in buildings of a different structural design. There was nothing 'generic' about it.

The single most unique feature of the collapses is how the three building components (perimeter, core, flooring) moved in highly specific, distinct ways relative to each other. It was a very unique, specific type of collapse progression which was directly related to the unique structural designs.

All the global features witnessed were a direct result of this uniqueness.

EVIDENCE OF NOT KNOWING

For over a decade since that time there is no evidence that any academic, government, or professional institution were able to perceive any of this information even though it has all been available online for free the entire time.

If highly unique collapse modes have already been mapped for the Twin Towers over a decade ago, why has none of this information found its way into the written histories of the collapses? There are 7 key sources of the written histories of the collapses:

- 1) News archives
- 2) Archives of investigative news outlets
- 3) Professional technical journal records
- 4) Academic papers
- 5) U.S. Court records
- 6) The NIST reports
- 7) Congressional records


- 1, 2 are private news sources
- 3, 4 are professional and academic sources
- 5, 6, 7 are U.S. Government sources from the judicial, executive, and legislative branches.

The material is examined [here](#) and [here](#). There is no evidence in any of the 7 information sources that the highly distinctive and unique collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers was known or described.

Overwhelming evidence confirms the opposite. No specific, detailed knowledge existed at all. Mistakes and uncertainty were normal.

THE RESULT: TWO INCOMPATIBLE AND CONTRADICTORY ZONES OF TWIN TOWERS COLLAPSE KNOWLEDGE


Those with evidence of uniqueness are obviously going to create a contradiction among those who had no idea the collapses were mappable. And that is what happened. There were two distinct, bifurcated concepts of Twin Towers collapse progressions from around 2009 and later. The two different zones of knowledge are mutually incompatible. Knowledge in zone B directly contradicts what is claimed in zone A.



Why does this knowledge exist in two groups? Why did it need to bifurcate? Because it could not be absorbed without destroying the beliefs of zone A. It had to be denied or at least ignored and isolated.

HOW TRUE KNOWLEDGE OF THE TWIN TOWERS COLLAPSE PROGRESSION MODES WAS KEPT OUT OF THE 'REAL WORLD'.

In this explanation public social space is divided into two separate zones. One zone sees itself to be the 'real world' (zone A) and anything not connected to it to be less professional or valid.



The thick red line represents a type of self-enclosed semi-permeable membrane. It was possible to look through the membrane from the outside in, but nobody within the membrane seemed able to see outside the enclosed space directly. The red walls served as a type of information bubble in which everyone

inside seemed trapped in time, frozen somewhere near the years 2006 or 7, unable to update when much more accurate information became available.

The unique and highly distinctive collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers were knowable and were comprehensively mapped in 2009. But this knowledge could never enter the 'real world' in zone A. It existed outside, yet couldn't exist inside the 'real world'. Why?

HOW COULD THE ACTUAL TWIN TOWERS COLLAPSE PROGRESSION MAPPINGS BECOME KNOWN IN THE 'REAL WORLD'?

It appears that any knowledge of the highly distinctive and mappable collapse progression processes could have only entered the 'real world' through some type of expert witness testimony or statement in a U.S Court or before Congress. These are the 3 colored regions in the red enclosure.

This knowledge could only be entered into the congressional or court records through a Government, academic or professional source that qualifies as an expert witness under U.S. law. They also had to be willing to go on the record and defend their statements. As long as nobody emerged from the colored regions in the diagram that recognized and could explain the actual distinctive collapse modes to people in the 'real world', this important knowledge of the truly unique collapse progression modes couldn't become known in the 'real world'. It could be blocked from the 'real world' indefinitely by having no one testify that it exists.

The true collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers were known by some researchers and were put online more than a decade ago for anyone else to examine or critique. But this knowledge has never been openly recognized or cited in the 'real world' zone. The 'real world' or zone A was and still is a place where nobody seemed to know what the Twin Towers collapse modes really were. Nobody in the membrane seemed to be able to make heads or tails of any unique or distinctive collapse progression modes. No one seemed to be able to make a crayon drawing of these unique and highly distinctive collapse modes. They still can't.

It is as if someone outside the membrane could perceive and critique the limited informational universe within. But those inside the membrane seemed trapped in a type of information bubble and never developed the capacity to know the unique ways the Twin Towers fell. As if they became stuck in time somewhere around the year 2006 permanently.

The collapses happened 2 decades ago. The collapse processes were comprehensively mapped more than a decade ago. It should be obvious by now that those in the 'real world' zone probably will never be able to develop the capacity to see the distinctive ways the Twin Towers actually fell. As long as the knowledge of the unique collapse progression modes is not brought up by expert witness testimony or statements through the congress or courts there is no reason to believe that the knowledge can't be kept out of the 'real world' zone indefinitely. Who or what can challenge the status quo now?

And this can remain true if knowledge of the unique, highly distinct collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers is kept out of the following sources permanently:

Professional technical journal records
Academic papers
U.S. Court records
Investigating agency reports (the NIST reports)
Congressional records

COULD PEOPLE IN THE ‘REAL WORLD’ EVER LEARN ABOUT THE UNIQUE AND HIGHLY DISTINCTIVE COLLAPSE PROGRESSION MODES?

This type of knowledge could only enter the ‘real world’ zone as expert witness testimony or statements of three general kinds: Government technical expert, academic technical expert or private professional technical expert. To understand if people in the ‘real world’ zone could have learned about the unique, distinctive collapse modes of the Twin Towers on their own, the following questions must be researched:

Have the unique and highly distinctive Twin Tower collapse progression modes been recognized or described by any professional organization and/or publication as of 2021?

Have the Twin Towers collapse progression modes ever been recognized or described in academic writing?

Have the unique and highly distinctive Twin Tower collapse progression modes been recognized in any U.S. Court document or record as of 2021?

Have the uniqueness of the Twin Towers collapse progression modes been recognized or described in any U.S. civil case?

Does a single detailed description exist of the collapse progression modes in any U.S. Court document or record?

Have the unique and highly distinctive Twin Towers collapse progression modes been recognized or described by any member of the U.S. Congress or in any U.S. Congressional record as of 2021?

Have the unique and highly distinctive Twin Towers collapse progression modes been recognized or described by any U.S. Government agency as of 2021?

The only sure way a reader can verify what is being claimed here is to answer these questions for themselves. The answers will reveal the true nature of how the Twin Tower collapse progression processes were understood within ‘zone A’ or the ‘real world’ zone.

If the answer to every question is ‘no’, then it is pretty clear why no expert witness was going to come forward to describe the distinctive, recognizable ways the Twin Towers fell to a jury, a judge, a

congressman, or to the general public. It is because the experts themselves had no clue it happened. They still don't.

WHAT EVENTUALLY HAPPENS TO THE DETAILED COLLAPSE KNOWLEDGE IN ZONE B ('TWILIGHT' ZONE) IF IT CAN NEVER ENTER THE 'REAL WORLD' ZONE?

I guess it can stay on some website where it can't effect the 'real world'. As long as it is kept out of the sources listed earlier it will have no legal or technical impact anyway.

What about the other 2 sources of information?

News archives

Archives of investigative news outlets

If the same pattern continues as earlier the last two information sources will continue to take on the values of 'zone A' or the 'real world'. They will effectively act as apologists for the collective values of 'zone A'. They will do their part to seal off the 'real world' zone from any pernicious outside non-expert influences (like zone B).

[Back to website](#)